



# Translation Purpose Only

For Immediate Release

# REIT Issuer

Starts Proceed Investment Corporation 2-1-14 Nihonbashi, Chuo-ku, Tokyo Kazuya Hiraide, Executive Director (Security Code: 8979)

Asset Management Company

Starts Asset Management Co., Ltd. Kazuya Hiraide, Representative Director Inquiries: Shigeru Matsuda, General Manager of Financial Control and Administration Division

TEL. +81-3-6202-0856

# Notice Concerning Disposition and Acquisition of Trust Beneficiary Interests in Domestic Real Estate

Starts Proceed Investment Corporation ("Starts Proceed") announces that Starts Asset Management Co., Ltd., to which Starts Proceed entrusts the management of its assets (the "Asset Management Company"), today decided to conduct disposition and acquisition of trust beneficiary interests in domestic real estate (respectively the "Acquisition" and the "Disposition," and collectively the "Transaction"). The details are as follows. Since the counterparty of the Transaction is an interested party, etc. as defined in the Act on Investment Trusts and Investment Corporations (Act No.198, 1951, as amended (the "Investment Trusts Act")), the Asset Management Company, pursuant to the internal rules, has obtained consent from Starts Proceed based on the approval of the Board of Directors of Starts Proceed held today.

# 1. Overview of the Transaction

(1) Assets to be Disposed through the Disposition (the "Assets to be Disposed")

			Planned	Planned	Planned	Assumed	Capital gain or
Property	Property name	Buyer	contract	disposition	disposition price	book value	loss
No.	1 Toperty name	Buyer	conclusion	date	(thousand yen)	(thousand	(thousand yen)
			date	(Note 1)	(Note 2)	yen) (Note 3)	(Note 4)
G-1	Proceed Hondori				533,000	394,768	138,231
G-2	Proceed Kanjodorihigashi	Starts Amenity Corporation	September	September	303,000	232,079	70,920
G-3	Proceed Kotoni	(Note 5) 29, 2017	29, 2017	275,000	209,116	65,883	
G-9	Proceed Ohashi				256,000	213,913	42,086
G-12	Proceed Higashiohata	A domestic company (Note 6)	October 16, 2017	October 16, 2017	53,100	58,033	(4,933)
G-16	Proceed Ohorikoen	A domestic company (Note 6)	October 31, 2017	October 31, 2017	420,000	488,189	(68,189)
R-1	Proceed Matsuyama	Shikoku Amlas Corporation	September 29, 2017	September 29, 2017	106,800	102,523	4,276
		Total			1,946,900	1,698,622	248,277

<sup>(</sup>Note 1) As for the settlement method, settlement for the entire amount will be made on the planned disposition date for all of the properties. (Note 2) "Planned disposition price" does not include miscellaneous disposition related expenses, fixed property taxes, city planning taxes,

consumption taxes and local consumption taxes.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Assumed book value" is the book value assumed as of the planned disposition date. (Note 3)

<sup>(</sup>Note 4) "Capital gain or loss" is the reference value calculated as the difference between the planned disposition price and assumed book



value and differs from gain on sales.

(Note 5) Starts Amenity Corporation is an interested party, etc. of the Asset Management Company defined in the Investment Trusts Act. For details, please refer to "6. Overview of Counterparty of the Transaction" below. The same applies hereinafter.

(Note 6) These are different domestic companies for each property, but as the seller has not agreed to the disclosure, it is not disclosed.

(2) Asset to be Acquired through the Acquisition (the "Asset to be Acquired")

Property No.	Property name	Seller	Planned contract conclusion date	Planned date of acquisition (Note 1)	Planned acquisition price (thousand yen) (Note 2)
C-77	Proceed Kinshicho	Starts Development Corporation (Note 3)	October 24, 2017	November 1, 2017	2,140,000

(Note 1) As for the settlement method, settlement for the entire amount will be made on the planned date of acquisition.

(Note 2) "Planned acquisition price" does not include miscellaneous acquisition related expenses, fixed property taxes, city planning taxes, consumption taxes and local consumption taxes.

(Note 3) Starts Development Corporation is an interested party, etc. of the Asset Management Company defined in the Investment Trusts Act. For details, please refer to "6. Overview of Counterparty of the Transaction" below.

# 2. Reason for the Transaction and Characteristics of the Asset to be Acquired

## (1) Reason for Transaction

Starts Proceed recognizes that it is necessary to dispose old assets and small assets with relatively high expense rates in order to enhance the competitiveness of the portfolio and exchange assets for those which are younger and are expected to be operated stably. Starts Proceed considered the disposition opportunity given the profitability of the Assets to be Disposed is forecasted to decrease with future costs increase as they are all 19 years old or older and for which daily repair costs are increasing and rooftop waterproofing work and exterior wall repair work are scheduled for next year.

The acquisition using the funds procured from disposition of the Asset to be Disposed is decided as the Asset to be Acquired, owned by Starts Development Corporation who concluded a pipeline support agreement with the Asset Management Company, is suitable as an asset to be exchanged with the Assets to be Disposed as indicated in "(2) Characteristics of the Asset to be Acquired" below and as an agreement has been reached at a price lower than the appraisal value for the planned acquisition price through negotiation.

With the transaction, Starts Proceed aims to implement an asset replacement that leads to the enhancement of its portfolio quality, while lowering the average age of the portfolio.

Furthermore, in the fiscal period ending October 2017, Starts Proceed will conduct reduction entry utilizing the "Special Provisions for Taxation in the Event of Advance Acquisition of Land, etc. in 2009 and 2010" for the part of the capital gain occurring from the Disposition (120 million yen) and will be allocated as internal reserves as a reserve for reduction entry to be used for measures, etc. contributing to secure stable distribution level in the future. Gain on sales of real estate properties from the Disposition is estimated to be 128 million yen (note).

(Note) Capital gain is an estimated amount and is subject to change.

# (2) Characteristics of the Asset to be Acquired

- The Kinshicho area where the Asset to be Acquired stands has excellent transportation access to central Tokyo and thus is an area with strong residential demand for commuters to central Tokyo and others.
- •The Asset to be Acquired is a 7-minute walk from Kinshicho Station on the JR Sobu Line and Tokyo Metro Hanzomon Line and is highly convenient in addition to having a good living environment with a large shopping building and other various facilities for daily life in the neighboring area.
- •The Asset to be Acquired targets mainly singles and DINKS and the property is suitable for its target with white-based urban design and excellently designed interior/equipment in condominium specification.



# 3. Content of the Asset to be Acquired and Assets to be Disposed (1) Description of Assets to be Disposed G-1: Proceed Hondori

	Overview	of specified asset	Overview of leasing (as of August 31, 2017)	
Type of s	pecified asset	Trust beneficiary interest	Total number of tenants	1
Use		Rental housing	Number of leased unit	
Planned disposition price		533,000 thousand yen	(Total number of	67 (67)
Planned disposition price		333,000 thousand yen	leasable units)	
		3-1 18 Minami Hondori,	Number of leased	
Location	(address)	Shiroishi-ku, Sapporo-shi,	parking units	37 (37)
		Hokkaido	(Total number of	
DM Com	/N/I		leasable parking units)	
PM Company		Starts Amenity Corporation	Leased floor area	2,624.93 m <sup>2</sup>
Master le		Fixed rent	Total leasable floor area	2,624.93 m <sup>2</sup>
		Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Bank,		
Trustee		Limited	Annual rent revenue	37,080 thousand yen
		From: March 30, 2004	Security and guarantee	2044.1
Period of	trust agreement	,	deposits, etc.	2,844 thousand yen
	_	To: November 30, 2025	Occupancy rate	100.0%
	Ownership form	Proprietorship Overview of appraisal report		f appraisal report
	Site area	1,571.00 m <sup>2</sup>	Appraiser	Chuo Real Estate Appraisal Co., Ltd.
Land	Zoning	Neighborhood commercial district	Date of appraisal	April 30, 2017
	Building-to-	90%	Appraisal value	533,000 thousand yen
	Floor-area ratio	300%	Overview of Building	condition evaluation report
	Ownership	December 2011	E14'	Tokio Marine & Nichido
	form	Proprietorship	Evaluation company	Risk Consulting Co., Ltd.
	Structure	Steel framed reinforced concrete structure with flat roof/10F	Date of evaluation	October 2014
Building	Construction completion date	March 14, 1989	Building replacement value	665,900 thousand yen
	Total floor area	3,126.08 m <sup>2</sup>	Long-term repair costs (12 years)	32,054 thousand yen
	Use	Apartment complex and Retail	PML (Date of evaluation: April 2017)	5.0%
Collateral				
None				
		Special notations/ Stat	us of the property	
	(structure and oth	er matters that have significant in	mpact on the price of the i	investment property)
1. The Bu	ilding-to-land ratio	of the property is essentially 80%. I		on of corner lot, it is set at 90%.
		Remar		
4-minute walk from Nango 18-chome Station on the Sapporo Municipal Subway Tozai Line.				



G-2: Proceed Kanjodorihigashi

	Overview o	of specified asset	Overview of leasi	<b>ng</b> (as of August 31, 2017)
Type of s	pecified asset	Trust beneficiary interest	Total number of tenants	
Use		Rental housing	Number of leased unit	
Planned disposition price		303,000 thousand yen	(Total number of leasable units)	34 (34)
Location	(address)	15-2-20 Kita 16-jo Higashi, Higashi-ku, Sapporo-shi, Hokkaido	Number of leased parking units (Total number of leasable parking units)	5 (5)
PM Company		Starts Amenity Corporation	Leased floor area	1,183.47 m <sup>2</sup>
Master le		Fixed rent	Total leasable floor area	1,183.47 m <sup>2</sup>
Trustee		Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Bank, Limited	Annual rent revenue	22,239 thousand yen
Period of	trust agreement	From: March 29, 2002	Security and guarantee deposits, etc.	3,655 thousand yen
		To: November 30, 2025	Occupancy rate	100.0%
	Ownership form	Proprietorship	Overview of appraisal report	
	Site area	478.04 m <sup>2</sup>	Appraiser	Chuo Real Estate Appraisal Co., Ltd.
Land	Zoning	Neighborhood commercial district	Date of appraisal	April 30, 2017
	Building-to- land	80%	Appraisal value	303,000 thousand yen
	Floor-area ratio	300%	Overview of Building	condition evaluation report
	Ownership form	Proprietorship	Evaluation company	Tokio Marine & Nichido Risk Consulting Co., Ltd.
	Structure	Reinforced concrete structure with flat roof / 7F	Date of evaluation	November 2014
Building	Construction completion date	October 23, 1990	Building replacement value	323,100 thousand yen
	Total floor area	1,420.16 m <sup>2</sup>	Long-term repair costs (12 years)	25,880 thousand yen
	Use	Apartment complex and Retail	PML (Date of evaluation: April 2017)	5.5%
		Collater		
None				
	(structure and oth	Special notations/ State er matters that have significant in		investment property)
None	_			
1	malla Constant IV and all	Remar		
1-minute	walk from Kanjodo	orihigashi Station on the Sapporo M	iunicipal Subway Toho Lin	e.



# G-3: Proceed Kotoni

	Overview of	of specified asset	Overview of leasing (as of August 31, 2017)		
Type of s	pecified asset	Trust beneficiary interest	Total number of tenants	1	
Use		Rental housing	Number of leased unit		
Planned disposition price		275,000 thousand yen	(Total number of	28 (28)	
			leasable units)		
			Number of leased		
Location	(address)	4-1-29 Yamanote 3-Jo, Nishi-	parking units	16 (16)	
Location	(address)	ku, Sapporo-shi, Hokkaido	(Total number of	10 (10	
			leasable parking units)		
PM Comp		Starts Amenity Corporation	Leased floor area	1,453.27 m <sup>2</sup>	
Company				,	
Master le	ase type	Fixed rent	Total leasable floor area	1,453.27 m <sup>2</sup>	
Trustee		Resona Bank Ltd.	Annual rent revenue	20,181 thousand yen	
		From: October 27 2005	Security and guarantee	2,155 thousand yen	
Period of	trust agreement		deposits, etc.	,	
	T	To: April 30, 2026	Occupancy rate	100.0%	
	Ownership form	Proprietorship Overview of appraisal rep		f appraisal report	
	Site area	814.64 m <sup>2</sup>	Appraiser	Chuo Real Estate Appraisal Co., Ltd.	
_	Zoning	①Neighborhood commercial	Date of appraisal		
Land		district		April 30, 2017	
		②Category I medium-to-high-			
	D '11' 4	rise exclusive residential district		275 000 4 1	
	Building-to- land	72% (①80%, ②60%)	Appraisal value	275,000 thousand yen	
	Floor-area ratio	200% (same in ① and ②)	Overview of Building	condition evaluation report	
	Ownership form	Proprietorship	Evaluation company	Tokio Marine & Nichido Risk Consulting Co., Ltd.	
	Structure	Reinforced concrete structure with flat roof / 10F	Date of evaluation	November 2014	
Building	Construction completion date	October 21, 1991	Building replacement value	333,900 thousand yer	
	Total floor area	1,601.17 m <sup>2</sup>	Long-term repair costs (12 years)	10,938 thousand yen	
	Use	Apartment complex and Retail	PML (Date of evaluation: April 2017)	4.0%	

# Collateral

None

# Special notations/ Status of the property

# (structure and other matters that have significant impact on the price of the investment property)

1. Of the land of the property, building-to-land ratio for the portion designated as the neighborhood commercial district is 80% and that for the portion designated as Category I medium-to-high-rise exclusive residential district is 60%. The weighted average figures in accordance with the size of areas are applied.

# Remarks

11-minute walk from Kotoni Station on the Sapporo Municipal Subway Tozai Line.



# G-9: Proceed Ohashi

	Overview of	of specified asset	Overview of leasing (as of August 31, 2017)	
Type of sp	pecified asset	Trust beneficiary interest	Total number of tenants	1
Use		Rental housing	Number of leased unit	
Planned disposition price		256 000 1	(Total number of	16 (16)
		256,000 thousand yen	leasable units)	
			Number of leased	
Location (	(address)	3-15-3 Ohashi Minami-ku,	parking units	16 (16)
Location	(address)	Fukuoka-shi, Fukuoka	(Total number of	10 (10)
			leasable parking units)	
PM Comp	oany/ML	Starts Amenity Corporation	Leased floor area	1,140.00 m <sup>2</sup>
Company		Starts Amenity Corporation	Leased floor area	1,140.00 III
Master lea	ase type	Fixed rent	Total leasable floor area	1,140.00 m <sup>2</sup>
Trustee		Resona Bank Ltd.	Annual rent revenue	17,761 thousand yen
		From: May 2, 2006	Security and guarantee	1,693 thousand yen
Period of trust agreement			deposits, etc.	1,093 tilousand yen
		To: April 30, 2026	Occupancy rate	100.0%
	Ownership	Proprietorship	Overview of appraisal report	
-	form	1 1		
	Site area	832.75 m <sup>2</sup>	Appraiser	Morii Appraisal & Investment
Land		①Category 1 residential		April 30, 2017
Land	Zoning districts	Date of appraisal		
		②Commercial district		
	Building-to- land	61.60% (①60%, ②80%)	Appraisal value	256,000 thousand yen
	Floor-area ratio	215.98% (①200%, ②400%)	Overview of Building	condition evaluation report
	Ownership	D 1.	E 1 d	Tokio Marine & Nichido
	form	Proprietorship	Evaluation company	Risk Consulting Co., Ltd.
	Structure	Reinforced concrete structure with flat roof / 5F	Date of evaluation	October 2013
- · · · ·	Construction	7.1 10.1000	Building replacement	322,560 thousand yen
Building	completion date	February 13, 1998	value	
	Total floor area	1,320.46 m <sup>2</sup>	Long-term repair costs (12 years)	28,745 thousand yen
			PML (Date of	8.0%
	Use	Apartment complex	evaluation: April 2017)	
		Collater	ral	

None

# Special notations/ Status of the property

# (structure and other matters that have significant impact on the price of the investment property)

- 1. Of the land of the property, building-to-land ratio for the portion designated as Category I residential district is 60% and that for the portion designated as commercial district is 80%. The weighted average figures in accordance with the size of areas are applied.
- 2. Of the land of the property, floor-area ratio for the portion designated as category I residential district is 200% and that for the portion designated as commercial district is 400%. The weighted average figures in accordance with the size of areas are applied.

Remarks

11-minute walk from Ohashi Station on the Nishitetsu Tenjin Omuta Line



G-12: Proceed Higashiohata

	Overview	of specified asset	Overview of leasi	ng (as of August 31, 2017)
Type of s	pecified asset	Trust beneficiary interest	Total number of tenants	1
Use	r	Rental housing	Number of leased unit	
	disposition price	53,100 thousand yen	(Total number of leasable units)	8 (9)
Location	(address)	662-1 Ichiban-cho Higashiohatadori Chuo-ku, Niigata-shi, Niigata	Number of leased parking units (Total number of leasable parking units)	3 (4)
PM Company		Starts Amenity Corporation	Leased floor area	267.07 m <sup>2</sup>
Master le	ase type	Pass-through	Total leasable floor area	296.61 m <sup>2</sup>
Trustee		Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Bank, Limited	Annual rent revenue	4,752 thousand yen
Period of	trust agreement	From: March 30, 2004	Security and guarantee deposits, etc.	400 thousand yen
		To: November 30, 2025	Occupancy rate	90.0%
	Ownership form	Proprietorship	Overview of appraisal report	
	Site area	212.49 m <sup>2</sup>	Appraiser	Asset Research Inc.
Land	Zoning	Category II medium-to-high-rise exclusive residential district	Date of appraisal	April 30, 2017
	Building-to- land	70%	Appraisal value	42,200 thousand yen
	Floor-area ratio	200%	Overview of Building	condition evaluation report
	Ownership form	Proprietorship	Evaluation company	Tokio Marine & Nichido Risk Consulting Co., Ltd.
	Structure	Reinforced concrete structure with flat roof / 4 F	Date of evaluation	October 2013
Building	Construction completion date	November 27, 1991	Building replacement value	95,550 thousand yen
	Total floor area	400.06 m <sup>2</sup>	Long-term repair costs (12 years)	12,619 thousand yen
	Use	Apartment complex	PML (Date of evaluation: April 2017)	13.7%
		Collater	al	
None				
	(structure and oth	Special notations/ Statuer matters that have significant in		nvestment property)
1. The bu	ilding-to-land ratio	of the property is essentially 60%.		of corner lot, it is set at 70%.
	C 21" - G	Remark	<u>(8</u>	

10 minutes from Niigata Station on JR Echigo line, Shinetsu Main Line, Hakushin Line, Banetsu West Line by bus



# G-16: Proceed Ohorikoen

on price	Trust beneficiary interest Rental housing 420,000 thousand yen  1-2-10 Arato Chuo-ku, Fukuoka-shi, Fukuoka  Starts Amenity Corporation  Pass-through Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking Corporation	Total number of tenants  Number of leased unit (Total number of leasable units)  Number of leased parking units (Total number of leasable parking units)  Leased floor area  Total leasable floor area  Annual rent revenue	1 46 (50)  7 (8)  1,050.39 m <sup>2</sup> 1,128.92 m <sup>2</sup> 27,144 thousand yen	
)	420,000 thousand yen  1-2-10 Arato Chuo-ku, Fukuoka-shi, Fukuoka  Starts Amenity Corporation  Pass-through  Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking Corporation	(Total number of leasable units)  Number of leased parking units (Total number of leasable parking units)  Leased floor area  Total leasable floor area	7 (8) 1,050.39 m <sup>2</sup> 1,128.92 m <sup>2</sup>	
)	1-2-10 Arato Chuo-ku, Fukuoka-shi, Fukuoka  Starts Amenity Corporation  Pass-through  Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking Corporation	leasable units)  Number of leased parking units (Total number of leasable parking units)  Leased floor area  Total leasable floor area	7 (8) 1,050.39 m <sup>2</sup> 1,128.92 m <sup>2</sup>	
	Fukuoka-shi, Fukuoka  Starts Amenity Corporation  Pass-through  Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking Corporation	parking units (Total number of leasable parking units)  Leased floor area  Total leasable floor area	1,050.39 m <sup>2</sup> 1,128.92 m <sup>2</sup>	
	Pass-through Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking Corporation	Total leasable floor area	1,128.92 m <sup>2</sup>	
	Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking Corporation			
	Banking Corporation	Annual rent revenue	27,144 thousand yen	
reement	From: November 30, 2007	Security and guarantee deposits, etc.	1,660 thousand yen	
	To: October 31, 2017	Occupancy rate	93.0%	
Ownership form Proprietorship		Overview of	Overview of appraisal report	
ea	370.08 m <sup>2</sup>	Appraiser	Morii Appraisal & Investment	
g	Commercial district	Date of appraisal	April 30, 2017	
ng-to-	90%	Appraisal value	381,000 thousand yen	
area ratio	400%	Overview of Building	condition evaluation report	
ship	Proprietorship	Evaluation company	InterRisk Research Institute & Consulting, Inc.	
ıre	Reinforced concrete structure with concrete roof/flat roof / 7F	Date of evaluation	April 2017	
uction etion date	March 21, 1997	Building replacement value	304,900 thousand yen	
	1,187.75 m <sup>2</sup>	Long-term repair costs (12 years)	17,330 thousand yen	
loor area	Apartment complex	PML (Date of evaluation: April 2017)	10.6%	
u	ship re uction	Proprietorship  Reinforced concrete structure with concrete roof/flat roof / 7F  action tion date  March 21, 1997  oor area 1,187.75 m²  Apartment complex	Proprietorship Evaluation company  Reinforced concrete structure with concrete roof/flat roof / 7F  action tion date  Oor area  Apartment complex  Evaluation company  Date of evaluation  Building replacement value  Long-term repair costs (12 years)  PML (Date of	

None

# Special notations/ Status of the property

# (structure and other matters that have significant impact on the price of the investment property)

- 1. The building-to-land ratio of the property is essentially 80%. However, due to mitigation of corner lot, it is set at 90%.
- 2. The concrete-block wall on the southeast side of the property is co-owned with the owner of adjacent land on the southeast side, and a memorandum has been concluded with the owner of adjacent land.
- 3. The concrete-block wall on the northeast side of the property is co-owned with owner of adjacent land on the northeast side.

# Remarks

2-minute walk from Ohorikoen Station on the Fukuoka Municipal Subway Kuko Line



# R-1: Proceed Matsuyama

	Overview of	of specified asset	Overview of leasing (as of August 31, 2017)	
Type of sp	pecified asset	Trust beneficiary interest	Total number of tenants	1
Use		Rental housing	Number of leased unit	
Planned disposition price		106,800 thousand yen	(Total number of leasable units)	17 (17)
Location	(address)	4-7-6 Tarumi Matsuyama-shi, Ehime	Number of leased parking units (Total number of leasable parking units)	8 (8)
PM Comp Company	-	Starts Amenity Corporation	Leased floor area	695.06 m <sup>2</sup>
Master lea	ase type	Pass-through	Total leasable floor area	695.06 m <sup>2</sup>
Trustee		Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Bank, Limited	Annual rent revenue	9,600 thousand yen
Period of trust agreement		From: March 29, 2002	Security and guarantee deposits, etc.	1,187 thousand yen
		To: November 30, 2025	Occupancy rate	100.0%
	Ownership form	Proprietorship	Overview of appraisal report	
	Site area	453.08 m <sup>2</sup>	Appraiser	Morii Appraisal & Investment
Land	Zoning	Category 1 residential districts	Date of appraisal	April 30, 2017
	Building-to- land	60%	Appraisal value	99,800 thousand yen
	Floor-area ratio	160%	Overview of Building condition evaluation rep	
	Ownership form	Proprietorship	Evaluation company	Tokio Marine & Nichido Risk Consulting Co., Ltd.
	Structure	Reinforced concrete structure with flat roof / 5F	Date of evaluation	April 2013
Building	Construction completion date	August 31, 1991	Building replacement value	135,660 thousand yen
	Total floor area	691.86 m <sup>2</sup>	Long-term repair costs (12 years)	9,677 thousand yen
	Use	Apartment complex	PML (Date of evaluation: April 2017)	7.2%
		Collater	• •	

# None

# Special notations/ Status of the property

# (structure and other matters that have significant impact on the price of the investment property)

1. The floor-area ratio of the property is essentially 200%. However, due to road width rules of the front road and specified roads, it is set at 160%.

# Remarks

18 minutes from Matsuyama Station on the JR Yosan Line by bus



# (2) Content of the Asset to be Acquired C-77: Proceed Kinshicho

	Overview o	f specified asset	Overview of leasing (as of June 30, 2017)	
Type of s	pecified asset	Trust beneficiary interest	Total number of tenants	1
Use		Rental housing	Number of leased unit	
Planned acquisition price		2 140 000 4 1	(Total number of	60 (64)
		2,140,000 thousand yen	leasable units)	
			Number of leased parking units	
Location	(address)	ddress) 4-6-9 Kamezawa Sumida-ku,		9 (11)
Location	(uddiess)	Tokyo	(Total number of	) (11)
			leasable parking units)	
PM Company/ML		Starts Amenity Corporation	Leased floor area	2,181.18 m <sup>2</sup>
Company		Starts Amenity Corporation	Leased floor area	2,101.10 III
Master le	ease type	Pass-through	Total leasable floor area	2,302.92 m <sup>2</sup>
Trustee		Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Bank,	Annual rent revenue	96,108 thousand yen
		From: November 9, 2005	Security and guarantee	13,554 thousand yen
Period of	trust agreement		deposits, etc.	15,554 thousand yen
		To: June 30, 2027	Occupancy rate	94.7%
	Ownership form	Proprietorship	Overview of	appraisal report
	Site area	544.16 m <sup>2</sup>	Appraiser	Chuo Real Estate Appraisal
	Site area	544.10 III	Арргаізсі	Co., Ltd.
Land		①Neighborhood commercial		September 1, 2017
Land	Zoning	district	Date of appraisal	
		②Quasi-industrial district		
	Building-to-land	94.29% (①80%, ②60%)	Appraisal value	2,150,000 thousand yen
	Floor-area ratio	445.78%(①400%, ②300%)	Overview of Building	condition evaluation report
	Ownership form	Proprietorship	Evaluation company	Tokio Marine & Nichido
	Ownership form	Troprictorship	Evaluation company	Risk Consulting Co., Ltd.
	Structure	Reinforced concrete structure	Date of evaluation	September 2017
	Siructure	with flat roof / 9F	Date of evaluation	September 2017
Building	Construction	July 1, 2005	Building replacement	830,900 thousand yen
Dunuing	completion date	July 1, 2005	value	
	Total floor area	2,770.59 m <sup>2</sup>	Long-term repair costs	43,851 thousand yen
	10141 11001 4164	2,770.39 m	(12 years)	
	Use	Apartment compley	PML (Date of	6.1%
	USC	Apartment complex	evaluation: April 2017)	

# Collateral

None

# Special notations/ Status of the property

# (structure and other matters that have significant impact on the price of the investment property)

- 1. Of the land of the property, the building-to-land ratio for the portion designated as neighborhood commercial district is essentially 80% and that for the portion designated as quasi-industrial district is essentially 60%. However, the weighted average figures in accordance with the size of areas after the mitigation of district plans of Kamezawa district and fireproof building rules in fire-prevention districts are applied.
- 2. Of the land of the property, the floor-area ratio for the portion designated as neighborhood commercial district is 400% and that for the portion designated as quasi-industrial district is 300%. The weighted average figures in accordance with the size of areas after the mitigation of district plans of Kamezawa district are applied.

# Remarks

7-minute walk from Kinshicho Station on the JR Sobu Line and Tokyo Metro Hanzomon Line

# Area/property characteristics

The Kinshicho area where the Asset to be Acquired stands has excellent transportation access to central Tokyo and thus is an area with strong residential demand from commuters to central Tokyo and others. The north side of the Asset to be Acquired is an area which has gone through large-scale redevelopment and is excellent in daily convenience including shopping with ARCATOWERS, olinas, Lotte City and other large-scale facilities. Additionally, close to the Asset to be



Acquired on the west side, there is the large Oyokogawa Shinsui Park lying north and south of the Kinshicho area, providing relaxation to citizens and an up-close view of Tokyo Skytree. The Asset to be Acquired targets mainly singles and DINKS and the property is suitable for its target with white-based urban design and excellently designed interior/equipment in condominium specification. Thus, strong needs are expected for the Asset to be Acquired over the medium to long term



## [Explanation]

- 1. "Type of specified asset" indicates the type as a specified asset, such as trust beneficiary interests, real estate, etc. "Use" are indicated in accordance with the stated categories in "Part I Fund Information, Item 1. Situation of the Fund, 2 Investment Policies, (1) Investment Policies, 3 Portfolio Construction Policies" set out in Securities Report.
- 2. "Planned disposition price" and "Planned acquisition price" indicate the amount (transaction price described in the trust beneficial interest transaction agreement with condition precedent, etc.) excluding various expenses required (real estate transaction brokerage fee, etc.) for the
- Assets to be Disposed and Asset to be Acquired.

  3. "PM Company/ML Company" indicates the PM company that has concluded a property management agreement and the ML company which has concluded a master lease agreement, agreements that are effective as of today for each property, or it indicates the PM company that is scheduled to conclude a property management agreement and the ML company which is scheduled to conclude a master lease agreement. For "Type of master lease," "Pass-through" is indicated for a master lease in which the trustee and the master lease company has agreed that the master lease company pays the same amount as the rent stated in the sublease agreement concluded between the master lease company and the end tenant to
- 4. "Trustee" indicates a trustee or a planned trustee at the time of the property disposition or acquisition by Starts Proceed. As to "Period of trust agreement," the starting date is the effective date of the trust agreement which is effective as of today (for trust agreement which is scheduled to be concluded, the scheduled effective date is indicated), and the ending date is the termination date of trust agreement which is scheduled to be agreed (including agreement for amendments) between the related parties of the trust agreement on the same date as acquisition by Starts Proceed.
- 5. Concerning the description of "Land" and "Building"
- "Site area," "Structure," "Construction completion date" and "Total floor area" are in accordance with information described in the certificate of entry in real estate registration. However, it may be different if the description has been found incorrect as a result of investigation.
- "Zoning" indicates the type of zoning district classified in accordance with Article 8, Paragraph 1, Item 1 of the City Planning Act (Act No. 100 of 1968, as amended).
- "Building-to-land ratio" is the ratio of the building area of the building to the site area as stipulated in Article 53 of the Building Standards Act (Act No. 201 of 1950, as amended. the "Building Standards Act") and indicates the maximum figures stipulated by the City Planning Act according to zoning, etc. Pursuant to Article 53 paragraph 3 or paragraph 5 of the Building Standards Act, corner lots in a block and fireproof buildings, etc. in fire-prevention districts may not be subject to mitigation of building-to-land ratio or building-to-land ratio restriction itself. There are cases in which separate restrictions or mitigations may be applied in accordance with administrative laws including the Building Standards Act. In such cases, figures after application of such restrictions or mitigations are indicated.
- "Floor-area ratio" is the ratio of the total floor area of the building to the site area as stipulated in Article 52 of the Building Standards Act and indicates the maximum figures stipulated by the City Planning Act according to zoning, etc. Pursuant to Article 52 paragraph 2 or paragraph 9 of the Building Standards Act, restrictions due to road width rules or mitigations due to specified road rules may apply in some cases. There are cases in which separate restrictions or mitigations may be applied in accordance with administrative laws including the Building Standards Act. In such cases, figures after application of such restrictions or mitigations are indicated.
- In case more than one zoning exist in one property, pursuant to Article 53 paragraph 2 or Article 52 paragraph 7 of the Building Standards Act, "Building-to-land ratio" and "Floor-area ratio" indicate the weighted average figures according to the floor area ratio that are on the design drawing/completion drawing, etc. from the time of construction. 6. Concerning the description of "Overview of leasing"
- For all Assets to be Disposed and Asset to be Acquired, a master lease agreement with Starts Amenity Corporation as a lessee and a sublessor is concluded or scheduled to be concluded among Starts Proceed, the trustee and Stars Amenity Corporation at the time of the disposition or
- acquisition. Thus "Total number of tenants" indicates the number regarding the master lease company as a tenant as of now or after the acquisition. "Number of leased unit (Total number of leasable units)," "Leased floor area," "Total leasable floor area," "Annual rent revenue" and "Security and guarantee deposits, etc." are described based on the same standards for the description of notes for the table illustrated in "Part I Fund Information, Item 1. Situation of the Fund, 5 Management Status, (2) Investment Assets, 3 Other Major Investment Assets, (iv) Overview of Leasing Status, a. Overview of Leasing Status" set out in the Securities Report. "Number of leased parking units (Total number of leasable parking units)" are indicated in accordance with the rule described in the note at the beginning of "Part I Fund Information, Item 1. Situation of the Fund, 5. Management Status, (2) Investment Assets, 3. Other Major Investment Assets d. Individual Overview of Real Estate in Trust for Acquired Assets" in Securities Report.
- "Occupancy rate" is the ratio of leased floor area to each tenant to the total leasable floor area of each property and is rounded off to the first decimal place
- 7. "Overview of appraisal report" describes the content indicated in the appraisal report prepared for the Assets to be Disposed and Asset to be
- 8. Concerning the description of "Overview of building condition evaluation report"
- Contents described in the building condition evaluation report and the seismic risk evaluation report which were prepared for the Assets to be Disposed and Asset to be Acquired are provided.
- "Date of evaluation" is the date (year, month and date or year and month) on which the building condition evaluation report was prepared.
- "Building replacement value" is the total amount of appropriate costs required assuming to reconstruct the subject building on the date of evaluation.
- "Long-term repair costs (12 years)" is the total amount of expected long-term repair costs based on the building condition evaluation report.
- Figures of "PML" are figures indicated in "Seismic risk investigation portfolio analysis report" prepared by Tokio Marine & Nichido Risk Consulting Co., Ltd. "PML" represents the rate of probable maximum loss to be caused by earthquakes. It means the extent of damage to be caused by one of the biggest earthquakes anticipated to happen within the expected duration of service (the biggest earthquake which happens once every 475 years, with a 10% chance of happening once every 50 years; expected duration of service of a general building is 50 years) represented by the ratio (%) of the estimated cost of restoration from the damage to replace the damage which is equivalent to 90% non-exceedance probability.
- 9. "Collateral" describes the existence/non-existence of collateral established on the date of disposition and acquisition of the Assets to be Disposed and Asset to be Acquired.
- 10. Concerning the description of Special notations/ Status of the property (structure and other matters that have significant impact on the price of the investment property)
- In principle, it describes (1) the rights of third parties other than the tenants housed in the Assets to be Disposed and Asset to be Acquired and restrictions, etc. based on such rights, (2) restrictions, etc. from administrative laws related to the Assets to be Disposed and Asset to be Acquired, as of today. In case a specific description refers to fact situations at the time of disposition or acquisition by Starts Proceed, description is made based on future prediction that is as rational as possible as of present on this date.
- When the type of a specified asset which Starts Proceed is acquiring is trust beneficiary right, description of "acquired by Starts Proceed" also refers to acquisition by Starts Proceed through trust beneficiary right. In such cases, legal owner or right holder of the real estate which is the trust asset of the trust beneficiary right is the trustee, and Starts Proceed acquires the trust beneficiary right.
- 11. "Area/property characteristics" is based on the description on the appraisal report, market report, etc. prepared for the Assets to be Acquired.



Date of appraisal

4. Overview of Appraisal Report of the Assets to be Disposed and Asset to be Acquired

April 30, 2017

(1) Overview of appraisal report	t of the Assets to be Disposed
Property name	Proceed Hondori

Appraisal value		533,000 thousand yen
Appraiser	Chuo Real Estate Appraisal Co., Ltd.	

(unit: thousand ven)

			(unit: thousand yen)
	Item	Amount	Details
	ne approach value	533,000	Income approach value based on DCF method after cross-validation of income approach values based on DCF method and direct capitalization method.
1 1	Value based on direct capitalization method		Assessed by returning net income (NCF) that is stable over the medium to long term by capitalization rate
	Operating revenue	34,901	-
	Effective gross income	34,901	Assessed gross income that is stable over the medium to long term considering rent based on the current lease agreement conditions, new rent assumed when the property is newly leased, etc.
	Vacancy loss, etc.	0	Assessed by taking into account the current lease agreement conditions and setting an occupancy rate (vacancy rate) that is stable over the medium to long term
	Operating expenses	4,637	<del>-</del>
	Maintenance expenses	240	Assessed based on the current lease agreement conditions and past results of the property.
	Utility expenses	0	Assessed based on the current lease agreement conditions and past results of the property.
	Repair expenses	1,017	Assessed based on the engineering report and past results of the property.
	PM fees	0	Assessed based on the current lease agreement conditions and past results of the property.
	Tenant solicitation expenses, etc.	0	Assessed based on the current lease agreement conditions and past results of the property.
	Taxes and public dues	3,187	Assessed based on the recent results.
	Non-life insurance fees	171	Recorded actual amount based on non-life insurance policy, etc.
	Other expenses	22	Assessed based on the past results.
	Net operating income (NOI)	30,264	<u>-</u>
	Gain on management of income from lump- sum payment	34	Assessed assuming management yield at 1.0%.
	Capital expenditures	4,995	Assessed based on the building replacement costs considering risk of renewal expenses increase due to building deterioration from aging, etc.
	Net income (NCF)	25,303	-
	Capitalization rate	5.7%	Assessed based on the basis yield comprehensively taking into account transaction yield of similar properties within the same supply and demand zone, risks from individuality/regional factors of the property.
di	alue of earnings calculated by iscounted cash flow (DCF)	533,000	-
	Discount rate	5.5%	Assessed based on capitalization rate reflecting current economic growth rate, market trends outlook, etc.
	Terminal capitalization rate	5.9%	Assessed based on capitalization rate taking into account risk premiums such as price fall risk due to deterioration from aging, market fluctuation risk, etc.
Cost n	nethod value	349,000	-
	and ratio	55.9% 44.1%	-
1 1 5	Building ratio		



Other items considered by real	Since demand for the property comes mostly from investors emphasizing			
estate appraisal agent upon	profitability, appraisal value was determined based on income approach value using			
appraisal	cost method value only as reference.			



Property name	Proceed Kanjodorihigashi		
Appraisal value		303,000 thousand yen	
Appraiser	Chuo Real Estate Appraisal Co., Ltd.		
Date of appraisal	April 30, 2017		
_		(unit: thousand ven)	

			(unit: thousand yen)
Item Amount		Amount	Details
Income approach value		303,000	Income approach value based on DCF method after cross-validation of income approach values based on DCF method and direct capitalization method.
	Value based on direct capitalization method		Assessed by returning net income (NCF) that is stable over the medium to long term by capitalization rate
Operating	g revenue	19,812	-
Effec	ctive gross income	19,812	Assessed gross income that is stable over the medium to long term considering rent based on the current lease agreement conditions, new rent assumed when the property is newly leased, etc.
Vaca	ncy loss, etc.	0	Assessed by taking into account the current lease agreement conditions and setting an occupancy rate (vacancy rate) that is stable over the medium to long term
Operating	g expenses	2,608	-
	ntenance expenses	240	Assessed based on the current lease agreement conditions and past results of the property.
Utili	ty expenses	0	Assessed based on the current lease agreement conditions and past results of the property.
Repa	ir expenses	679	Assessed based on the engineering report and past results of the property.
PM 1		0	Assessed based on the current lease agreement conditions and past results of the property.
expe	nt solicitation nses, etc.	0	Assessed based on the current lease agreement conditions and past results of the property.
	s and public dues	1,596	Assessed based on the recent results.
Non-	·life insurance fees	93	Recorded actual amount based on non-life insurance policy, etc.
	r expenses	0	Assessed based on the past results.
Net opera (NOI)	ting income	17,204	-
of in	on management come from lump-payment	27	Assessed assuming management yield at 1.0%.
	tal expenditures	1,726	Recorded the average of accumulated total over the past 12 years of facility renewal expenses stated in the engineering reports.
Net incom	ne (NCF)	15,505	-
Capitaliz	ation rate	5.5%	Assessed based on the basis yield comprehensively taking into account transaction yield of similar properties within the same supply and demand zone, risks from individuality/regional factors of the property.
Value of earn discounted ca method	ings calculated by sh flow (DCF)	303,000	-
Discount	rate	5.3%	Assessed based on capitalization rate reflecting current economic growth rate, market trends outlook, etc.
	capitalization rate	5.7%	Assessed based on capitalization rate taking into account risk premiums such as price fall risk due to deterioration from aging, market fluctuation risk, etc.
	Cost method value 205,000		-
Land ratio		44.4%	-
Building ratio		55.6%	-

Other items considered by real estate appraisal agent upon	Since demand for the property comes mostly from investors emphasizing profitability, appraisal value was determined based on income approach value using	
appraisal	cost method value only as reference.	



Property name		Proceed Kotor	ni
Appraisal value			275,000 thousand ye
Appraiser		Chuo Real Est	tate Appraisal Co., Ltd.
	Date of appraisal	April 30, 2017	7
			(unit: thousand yer
	Item	Amount	Details
ncome approach value		275,000	Income approach value based on DCF method after cross- validation of income approach values based on DCF method and direct capitalization method.
	lue based on direct	267,000	Assessed by returning net income (NCF) that is stable over the
cap	pitalization method	ŕ	medium to long term by capitalization rate
	Operating revenue	21,163	-
	Effective gross income	21,163	Assessed gross income that is stable over the medium to long ter considering rent based on the current lease agreement condition new rent assumed when the property is newly leased, etc.
	Vacancy loss, etc.	0	Assessed by taking into account the current lease agreeme conditions and setting an occupancy rate (vacancy rate) that stable over the medium to long term
	Operating expenses	5,068	-
	Maintenance expenses	240	Assessed based on the current lease agreement conditions and paresults of the property.
	Utility expenses	0	Assessed based on the current lease agreement conditions and paresults of the property.
	Repair expenses	2,766	Assessed based on the engineering report and past results of t property.
	PM fees	0	Assessed based on the current lease agreement conditions and paresults of the property.
	Tenant solicitation expenses, etc.	0	Assessed based on the current lease agreement conditions and paresults of the property.
	Taxes and public dues	1,972	Assessed based on the recent results.
	Non-life insurance fees	90	Recorded actual amount based on non-life insurance policy, etc.
	Other expenses	0	Assessed based on the past results.
	Net operating income (NOI)	16,095	-
	Gain on management of income from lump-sum payment	22	Assessed assuming management yield at 1.0%.
	Capital expenditures	912	Recorded the average of accumulated total over the past 12 year of facility renewal expenses stated in the engineering reports.
	Net income (NCF)	15,205	-
	Capitalization rate	5.7%	Assessed based on the basis yield comprehensively taking ir account transaction yield of similar properties within the sar supply and demand zone, risks from individuality/regional factor of the property.
dis	lue of earnings calculated by scounted cash flow (DCF)	275,000	-
	Discount rate	5.5%	Assessed based on capitalization rate reflecting current econon growth rate, market trends outlook, etc.
	Terminal capitalization rate	5.9%	Assessed based on capitalization rate taking into account r premiums such as price fall risk due to deterioration from agin market fluctuation risk, etc.
Cost method value		222,000	-
	nd ratio	57.7%	-
Bu	ilding ratio	42.3%	<del>-</del>
	tems considered by real		d for the property comes mostly from investors emphasizing appraisal value was determined based on income approach value.



Property name	Proceed Ohashi		
Appraisal value		256,000 thousand yen	
Appraiser	Morii Appraisal & Investment		
Date of appraisal	April 30, 2017		
		(unit: thousand yen)	

			(unit: thousand yen)
	Item	Amount	Details
ncome	approach value	256,000	Estimated by relating income approach value based on direct capitalization method and value based on DCF method.
	lue based on direct	259,000	Assessed by returning net income (NCF) that is stable over the medium to long term by capitalization rate
Cup	Operating revenue	18,343	-
	operating revenue	10,515	Assessed gross income that is stable over the medium to long term
	Effective gross income	18,343	considering rent based on the current lease agreement, new rent assumed when the property is newly leased, etc.
	Vacancy loss, etc.	0	Not recorded as it is assumed to be leased over the long term
	Operating expenses	2,204	-
	Maintenance expenses	0	Not recorded as paid by the tenant
	Utility expenses	0	Not recorded as paid by the tenant
	Repair expenses	719	Judged that the estimate in the engineering report is adequate, recorded 30% of the levelled amount
	PM fees	0	Not recorded based on the current agreement
	Tenant solicitation	U	Not recorded based on the current agreement
	expenses, etc.	0	Assessed based on the past results.
	Taxes and public dues	1,432	Assessed based on actual results considering fluctuation rate, age depreciation, etc.
	Non-life insurance fees	53	Assessed by reference to estimate and the level of similar properties
	Other expenses	0	Assessed based on the past results.
	Net operating income (NOI)	16,139	-
	Gain on management of income from lump-sum payment	14	Assessed by multiplying the amount which is obtained by subtracting vacancy loss equivalent from the amount of security deposit, etc. at full occupancy by management yield at 1.0%
	Capital expenditures	1,677	Judged that the estimate in the engineering report is adequate, recorded 70% of the levelled amount
	Net income (NCF)	14,476	-
	Capitalization rate	5.6%	Assessed by reflecting fluctuation risks of profit and principal, etc. in discount rate.
disc	lue of earnings calculated by counted cash flow (DCF) thod	252,000	-
	Discount rate	5.4%	Assessed based on the basis yield comprehensively taking into account market trends, etc. after considering risk factors of regional factors/individuality of the property
	Terminal capitalization rate	5.8%	Assessed based on capitalization rate considering risks such as future uncertainties
Cost me	ethod value	175,000	-
Lar	nd ratio	52.6%	-
Bui	ilding ratio	47.4%	-
			ı

Other items considered by real	Since demand for the property comes mostly from investors emphasizing	
estate appraisal agent upon	profitability, appraisal value was determined based on income approach value using	
appraisal	cost method value only as reference.	



Property name	Proceed Higashiohata	
Appraisal value		42,200 thousand yen
Appraiser	Asset Research Inc.	
Date of appraisal	April 30, 2017	
		(unit: thousand yen)

Item	Amount	Details
Income approach value	42,200	Estimated by relating income approach value based on direct capitalization method and value based on DCF method.
Value based on direct capitalization method	41,500	Assessed by returning net income (NCF) that is stable over the medium to long term by capitalization rate
Operating revenue	5,571	-
Effective gross incom	e 5,851	Assessed gross income that is stable over the medium to long term considering rent based on the current lease agreement conditions, new rent assumed when the property is newly leased, etc.
Vacancy loss, etc.	280	Assessed by taking into account the current lease agreement conditions and setting an occupancy rate (vacancy rate) that is stable over the medium to long term
Operating expenses	1,950	-
Maintenance expenses	780	Assessed based on the current lease agreement conditions and past results of the property.
Utility expenses	144	Assessed based on the current lease agreement conditions and past results of the property.
Repair expenses	64	Assessed based on the engineering report and past results of the property.
PM fees	278	Assessed based on the current lease agreement conditions and past results of the property.
Tenant solicitation expenses, etc.	63	Assessed based on the current lease agreement conditions and past results of the property.
Taxes and public dues		Assessed based on the recent results.
Non-life insurance fee	43	Recorded actual amount based on non-life insurance policy, etc.
Other expenses	26	Assessed based on the past results.
Net operating income (NOI)	3,621	-
Gain on management of income from lump-sum payment	4	Assessed assuming management yield at 1.0%.
Capital expenditures	1,052	Recorded the average of accumulated total over the past 12 years of facility renewal expenses stated in the engineering reports.
Net income (NCF)	2,573	-
Capitalization rate	6.2%	Assessed based on the basis yield comprehensively taking into account transaction yield of similar properties within the same supply and demand zone, risks from individuality/regional factors of the property.
Value of earnings calculated by discounted cash flow (DCF) method	42,300	-
Discount rate	5.9%	Assessed based on capitalization rate reflecting current economic growth rate, market trends outlook, etc.
Terminal capitalization rat		Assessed based on capitalization rate taking into account risk premiums such as price fall risk due to deterioration from aging, market fluctuation risk, etc.
Cost method value	79,600	-
Land ratio	27.6% 72.4%	-
Building ratio 72		-

Other items considered by real	Since demand for the property comes mostly from investors emphasizing		
estate appraisal agent upon	profitability, appraisal value was determined based on income approach value		
appraisal using cost method value only as reference.			



Property name	Proceed Ohorikoen	
Appraisal value		381,000 thousand yen
Appraiser	Morii Appraisal & Investment	
Date of appraisal	April 30, 2017	

(unit: thousand ven)

(unit: thousand yen)			
Ite	m	Amount	Details
Income approach value		381,000	Estimated by relating income approach value based on direct capitalization method and value based on DCF method
	Value based on direct capitalization method		Assessed by returning net income (NCF) that is stable over the medium to long term by capitalization rate
Operating	revenue	29,292	-
Effec	tive gross income	31,115	Assessed gross income that is stable over the medium to long term considering rent based on the current lease agreement, new rent assumed when the property is newly leased, etc.
Vacai	ncy loss, etc.	1,823	Assessed after setting an occupancy rate (vacancy rate) that is stable over the medium to long term taking into account the actual occupancies in the past, etc. of the property and similar properties
Operating	expenses	8,643	-
Main	tenance expenses	1,517	Assessed based on the current agreement, past results of the property and the level of similar properties
Utilit	y expenses	1,599	Assessed based on past results of the property and the level of similar properties
Repa	ir expenses	1,689	Repair expenses: Judged that the estimate in the engineering report is adequate, recorded 30% of the levelled amount Expenses to restore original state: Assessed taking into account the levels, actual results and turnover rates of similar properties
PM fo	ees	1,056	Assessed based on the current agreement, the level of similar properties, etc.
	nt solicitation nses, etc.	1,109	Assessed taking into account local practice and turnover rate of the property
Taxes	and public dues	1,499	Assessed based on actual results considering fluctuation rate, age depreciation, etc.
Non-l	life insurance fees	51	Assessed by reference to estimate and the level of similar properties
	expenses	123	Assessed based on the past results.
Net opera (NOI)	ting income	20,649	-
of inc	on management come from lump- payment	21	Assessed by multiplying the amount which is obtained by subtracting vacancy loss equivalent from the amount of security deposit, etc. at full occupancy by management yield at 1.0%
Capit	al expenditures	1,011	Judged that the estimate in the engineering report is adequate, recorded 70% of the levelled amount
Net incom	ne (NCF)	19,659	-
Capitaliza	tion rate	5.1%	Assessed by reflecting fluctuation risks of profit and principal, etc. in discount rate
Value of earnings calculated by discounted cash flow (DCF) method		376,000	-
Discount	rate	4.9%	Assessed based on the basis yield comprehensively taking into account market trends, etc. after considering risk factors of regional factors/individuality of the property
Terminal capitalization rate		5.3%	Assessed based on capitalization rate considering risks such as future uncertainties
Cost method value		233,000 65.9%	-
Land ratio	Land ratio		-
Building ratio		34.1%	-

Other items considered by real	Since demand for the property comes mostly from investors emphasizing
estate appraisal agent upon	profitability, appraisal value was determined based on income approach value
appraisal	using cost method value only as reference.



Property name	Proceed Matsuyama	
Appraisal value		99,800 thousand yen
Appraiser	Morii Appraisal & Investment	
Date of appraisal	April 30, 2017	
		(unit: thousand yen)

Item Amount Income approach value  Poperating revenue  Operating revenue  Income approach value based on direct capitalization method and value based on DCF method  Operating revenue  Income approach value based on direct capitalization method and value based on DCF method  Assessed by returning net income (NCF) that is stable over the medium to long term by capitalization rate  Income approach value based on direct capitalization method and value based on DCF method  Assessed by returning net income (NCF) that is stable over the medium to long term by capitalization rate  Income approach value based on the current lease agreement, new rent assumed when the property is newly leased, etc.  Assessed after setting an occupancy rate (vacancy rate) that is stable over the medium to long term considering rent based on the current lease agreement, new rent assumed when the property is newly leased, etc.  Assessed after setting an occupancy rate (vacancy rate) that is stable over the medium to long term taking into account the current agreement, past results of the property and the level of similar properties.  Assessed based on the current agreement, past results of the property and the level of similar properties.  Repair expenses  805  Repair expenses: Judged that the estimate in the engineering report is adequate, recorded 30% of the levelled amount Expenses, etc.  Tenant solicitation  Expenses, etc.  Tenant solicitation  Expenses, etc.  Non-life insurance fees  Other expenses  Assessed based on actual results considering fluctuation rate, age depreciation, etc.  Non-life insurance fees  Assessed based on actual results considering fluctuation rate, age depreciation, etc.  Non-life insurance fees  Assessed based on the past results.  Assessed based on the past results.  Assessed based on the past results.  Assessed by reflecting fluctuation risks of profit and principal, etc. in discount rate  Value of earnings calculated by discounted cash flow (DCF)  Terminal capitalization rate  Assessed based on the basis yield	(unit: thousand yer			
Value based on direct   102,000   Assessed by returning net income (NCF) that is stable over the medium to long term by capitalization rate   Assessed gross income that is stable over the medium to long term by capitalization rate   Assessed gross income that is stable over the medium to long term to considering rent based on the current lease agreement, new rent assumed when the property is newly leased, etc.   Assessed after setting an occupancy rate (vacancy rate) that is stable over the medium to long term taking into account the actual occupancies in the past, etc. of the property and similar properties   Assessed based on the current agreement, past results of the property and the level of similar properties   Assessed based on past results of the property and the level of similar properties   Assessed based on past results of the property and the level of similar properties   Assessed based on past results of the property and the level of similar properties   Assessed based on the current agreement, past results of the property and the level of similar properties   Assessed based on past results of the property and the level of similar properties   Assessed based on past results of the property and the level of similar properties   Assessed based on the current agreement, past results of the property and the level of similar properties   Assessed based on the current agreement, he level of similar properties   Assessed based on the current agreement, he level of similar properties   Assessed based on the current agreement, he level of similar properties   Assessed based on actual results considering fluctuation rate, age depreciation, etc.   Assessed based on actual results considering fluctuation rate, age depreciation, etc.   Assessed based on actual results considering fluctuation rate, age depreciation, etc.   Assessed based on the past results.   Assessed by reference to estimate and the level of similar properties   Assessed by reference to estimate and the level of similar properties   Assessed		Item	Amount	Details
Capitalization method   10,450			99,800	Estimated by relating income approach value based on direct capitalization method and value based on DCF method
Operating revenue			102,000	Assessed by returning net income (NCF) that is stable over the medium to long term by capitalization rate
Effective gross income  I1,005  Assessed gross income that is stable over the medium to long term considering rent based on the current lease agreement, new rent assumed when the property is newly leased, etc.  Assessed after setting an occupancy rate (vacancy rate) that is able over the medium to long term taking into account the actual occupancies in the past, etc. of the property and similar properties  Operating expenses  3,075  Assessed based on the current agreement, past results of the property and the level of similar properties  Assessed based on past results of the property and the level of similar properties  Repair expenses: Judged that the estimate in the engineering report is adequate, recorded 30% of the levelled amount Expenses to restore original state: Assessed taking into account the levels, actual results and turnover rates of similar properties  PM fees  371  Tenant solicitation expenses, etc.  Tenant solicitation expenses, etc.  Taxes and public dues  492  Assessed based on actual results considering fluctuation rate, age depreciation, etc.  Non-life insurance fees  Other expenses  0 Assessed based on the past results considering fluctuation rate, age depreciation, etc.  Not operating income (NOI)  Gain on management of income from lumpsum payment  Capital expenditures  Net income (NCF)  Capital expenditures  564  Net income (NCF)  Capital expenditures  564  Net income (NCF)  Assessed by multiplying the amount which is obtained by subtracting vacancy loss equivalent from the amount of security deposit, etc. at full occupancy by management yield at 1.0%  Judged that the estimate in the engineering report is adequate, recorded 70% of the levelled amount  Value of earnings calculated by discounted eash flow (DCF)  method  Assessed based on the basis yield comprehensively taking into account market trends, etc. after considering risk factors of regional factors/individuality of the property  Assessed based on capitalization rate considering risks such as future uncertainties  Cost method value	'		10,456	-
Effective gross income    Assessed after setting an occupancy rate (vacancy rate) that is stable over the medium to long term taking into account the actual stable over the medium to long term taking into account the actual stable over the medium to long term taking into account the actual stable over the property and similar properties    Assessed based on the current agreement, past results of the property and similar properties   Assessed based on the current agreement, past results of the property and the level of similar properties   Assessed based on the current agreement, past results of the property and similar properties   Assessed based on the current agreement, past results of the property and the level of similar properties   Repair expenses				Assessed gross income that is stable over the medium to long term
Vacancy loss, etc.   549   Assessed after setting an occupancy rate (vacancy rate) that is stable over the medium to long term taking into account the actual occupancies in the past, etc. of the property and similar properties		Effective gross income	11,005	considering rent based on the current lease agreement, new rent
Vacancy loss, etc.   549   stable over the medium to long term taking into account the actual cocupancies in the past, etc. of the property and similar properties				Assessed after setting an accompany rate (visconey rate) that is
Operating expenses		Vacancy loss, etc.	549	stable over the medium to long term taking into account the actual
Maintenance expenses  Utility expenses  681  Assessed based on the current agreement, past results of the property and the level of similar properties  Repair expenses:  805  Repair expenses:  805  Repair expenses:  806  Repair expenses:  807  Repair expenses:  808  808  809  809  809  809  809  80		Operating avnenges	2.075	occupancies in the past, etc. of the property and similar properties
Utility expenses    Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair expenses   Sepair exp			3,073	Accessed based on the extrement agreement most results of the
Repair expenses  Repair expenses  Repair expenses  Repair expenses: Judged that the estimate in the engineering report is adequate, recorded 30% of the levelled amount Expenses to restore original state: Assessed taking into account the levels, actual results and turnover rates of similar properties  PM fees  371  Tenant solicitation expenses, etc.  Taxes and public dues  Non-life insurance fees  Other expenses  Other expenses  Other expenses  Assessed based on actual results considering fluctuation rate, age depreciation, etc.  Net operating income (NOI)  Gain on management of income from lump-sum payment  Capital expenditures  Set  Value of earnings calculated by discounted cash flow (DCF)  method  Discount rate  Cost method value  Figure expenses: Judged that the estimate in the engineering report is adequate, recorded 70% of the levelled amount  Repair expenses: Judged that the estimate in the engineering report is adequate, recorded 70% of the levelled amount which is obtained by subtracting vacancy loss equivalent from the amount of security deposit, etc. at full occupancy by management yield at 1.0%  Assessed by multiplying the amount which is obtained by subtracting vacancy loss equivalent from the amount of security deposit, etc. at full occupancy by management yield at 1.0% appears to the eximate in the engineering report is adequate, recorded 70% of the levelled amount  Value of earnings calculated by discounted cash flow (DCF)  possible that the estimate in the engineering report is adequate, recorded 70% of the levelled amount  Assessed by reflecting fluctuation risks of profit and principal, etc. in discount rate  Assessed based on the basis yield comprehensively taking into account market trends, etc. after considering risk factors of regional factors/individuality of the property  Assessed based on capitalization rate considering risks such as future uncertainties  Cost method value  Eand ratio  Assessed based on capitalization rate considering risks such as future uncertainties		Maintenance expenses	548	property and the level of similar properties
Repair expenses		Utility expenses	681	
Repair expenses				Repair expenses: Judged that the estimate in the engineering report
Expenses to restore original state: Assessed taking into account the levels, actual results and turnover rates of similar properties  PM fees  Tenant solicitation expenses, etc.  Taxes and public dues  Non-life insurance fees  Other expenses  Other expenses  Other expenses  Other expenses  Assessed based on actual results considering fluctuation rate, age depreciation, etc.  Not operating income (NOI)  Gain on management of income from lump-sum payment  Capital expenditures  Net income (NCF)  Assessed by multiplying the amount which is obtained by subtracting vacancy loss equivalent from the amount of security deposit, etc. at full occupancy by management yield at 1.0%  Judged that the estimate in the engineering report is adequate, recorded 70% of the levelled amount  Value of earnings calculated by discounted cash flow (DCF)  method  Discount rate  6.5%  Assessed based on the basis yield comprehensively taking into account market trends, etc. after considering risk factors of regional factors/individuality of the property  Terminal capitalization rate  Cost method value  Terminal capitalization rate  Terminal capitalization rate  Land ratio  Expenses to restore original state: Assessed based on the current agreement, the level of similar properties  Assessed based on actual results considering fluctuation rate and turnover rate of the properties  Assessed based on the past results.  Assessed by multiplying the amount which is obtained by subtracting vacancy loss equivalent from the amount of security deposit, etc. at full occupancy by management yield at 1.0%  Judged that the estimate in the engineering report is adequate, recorded 70% of the levelled amount		D	905	is adequate, recorded 30% of the levelled amount
PM fees   371   Assessed based on the current agreement, the level of similar properties, etc.   Tenant solicitation expenses, etc.   155   Assessed based on the current agreement, the level of similar properties, etc.   Assessed based on actual results considering fluctuation rate, age depreciation, etc.   Non-life insurance fees   23   Assessed based on actual results considering fluctuation rate, age depreciation, etc.   Non-life insurance fees   04   Assessed based on the past results   00   00   00   00   00   00   00		Repair expenses	803	Expenses to restore original state: Assessed taking into account the
Temant solicitation expenses, etc.  Temant solicitation expenses, etc.  Taxes and public dues  Non-life insurance fees Other expenses Other e				levels, actual results and turnover rates of similar properties
Temant solicitation expenses, etc.  Temant solicitation expenses, etc.  Taxes and public dues  Non-life insurance fees Other expenses Other e		DM C	271	Assessed based on the current agreement, the level of similar
Assessed based on actual results considering fluctuation rate, age depreciation, etc.   Non-life insurance fees   23   Assessed based on actual results considering fluctuation rate, age depreciation, etc.   Non-life insurance fees   23   Assessed by reference to estimate and the level of similar properties		PM fees	3/1	properties, etc.
Assessed based on actual results considering fluctuation rate, age depreciation, etc.   Non-life insurance fees   23   Assessed based on actual results considering fluctuation rate, age depreciation, etc.   Non-life insurance fees   23   Assessed by reference to estimate and the level of similar properties	İ	Tenant solicitation	1.5.5	Assessed taking into account local practice and turnover rate of the
Non-life insurance fees   23   Assessed by reference to estimate and the level of similar properties		expenses, etc.	155	
Non-life insurance fees   23   Assessed by reference to estimate and the level of similar properties		Taxes and public dues	492	
Other expenses   O   Assessed based on the past results.		Non-life insurance fees	23	
Net operating income (NOI)			0	
Capital expenditures   Assessed by multiplying the amount which is obtained by subtracting vacancy loss equivalent from the amount of security deposit, etc. at full occupancy by management yield at 1.0%				Tissessed dused on the pust results.
of income from lump- sum payment  Capital expenditures  Net income (NCF)  Capitalization rate  Value of earnings calculated by discounted cash flow (DCF) method  Discount rate  Discount rate  Cost method value  Cost method value  Capitalization rate  of income from lump- sum payment  Assubtracting vacancy loss equivalent from the amount of security deposit, etc. at full occupancy by management yield at 1.0%  Judged that the estimate in the engineering report is adequate, recorded 70% of the levelled amount		(NOI)	7,381	-
Sum payment   Discount rate   Separate   S		Gain on management	-	Assessed by multiplying the amount which is obtained by
Capital expenditures  Sequence			/	
Capital expenditures   364   recorded 70% of the levelled amount		sum payment		deposit, etc. at full occupancy by management yield at 1.0%
Capitalization rate  Capitaliz		Capital expenditures		
Value of earnings calculated by discounted cash flow (DCF) method  Discount rate  Cost method value  Cost method value  Cost method value  Terminal capitalization rate  Cost method value  Terminal capitalization  Cost method value  Terminal capitalization  Terminal capit		Net income (NCF)	6,824	-
discounted cash flow (DCF) method  Discount rate  Discount rate  Cost method value  Cost method value  Discount rate  Cost method value  Terminal capitalization  Cost method value  Terminal capitalization  Terminal capita		Capitalization rate	6.7%	
Discount rate  6.5% account market trends, etc. after considering risk factors of regional factors/individuality of the property  Terminal capitalization rate  6.9% Assessed based on capitalization rate considering risks such as future uncertainties  Cost method value  72,400  Land ratio  64.4%  -	dis	counted cash flow (DCF)	97,500	-
Terminal capitalization rate		Discount rate	6.5%	account market trends, etc. after considering risk factors of regional
Land ratio 64.4% -		_		
	Cost m	ethod value		-
Building ratio 35.6% -	Land ratio 64.4%		64.4%	-
	Bu	ilding ratio	35.6%	-

Other items considered by real	Since demand for the property comes mostly from investors emphasizing
estate appraisal agent upon	profitability, appraisal value was determined based on income approach value using
appraisal	cost method value only as reference.



# (2) Overview of appraisal report of the Asset to be Acquired

Property name	Proceed Kinshicho	
Appraisal value		2,150,000 thousand yen
Appraiser	Chuo Real Estate Appraisal Co., Ltd.	
Date of appraisal	September 1, 2017	
		(unit: thousand yen)

Income approach value    Second   Secon				(unit: thousand yen)
Income approach value		Item	Amount	
Capitalization method   2,240,000   medium to long term by capitalization rate	Income approach value		2,150,000	validation of income approach values based on DCF method and direct capitalization method.
Departing revenue			2,240,000	
Effective gross income      117,319	'		113.722	-
Vacancy loss, etc.   3,597   setting an occupancy rate (vacancy rate) that is stable over the medium to long term		Effective gross	· ·	considering the building lease agreement, profitability, etc. of the property, and new rent assumed when the property is newly leased, etc.
Maintenance expenses   2,902   Assessed based on business entrustment agreement		Vacancy loss, etc.	3,597	setting an occupancy rate (vacancy rate) that is stable over the
Maintenance expenses   2,902   Assessed based on business entrustment agreement		Operating expenses	19,710	-
Repair expenses   2,692   Assessed based on the engineering report and past results of the property.		Maintenance expenses	2,902	Assessed based on business entrustment agreement
Repair expenses   2,692   Assessed based on the engineering report and past results of the property.		Utility expenses	1,340	Assessed based on the past results of the property
Tenant solicitation expenses, etc.  Taxes and public dues Non-life insurance fees Other expenses		Repair expenses	2,692	Assessed based on the engineering report and past results of the property.
Tenant solicitation expenses, etc.  Taxes and public dues Non-life insurance fees Other expenses		PM fees	3,008	Assessed based on business entrustment agreement
Taxes and public dues  Non-life insurance fees  Other expenses  Other expenses  Net operating income (NOI)  Gain on management of income from lump- sum payment  Capital expenditures  Capitalization rate  Capitalization rate  Value of earnings calculated by discounted cash flow (DCF) method  Discount rate  Terminal capitalization rate  Taxes and public dues  5,726  Assessed based on the recent results, etc.  Net corded actual amount based on non-life insurance policy, etc.  Assessed based on the past results.  Assessed based on the past results.  Assessed assuming management yield at 1.0%.  Recorded the average of accumulated total over the past 12 years of facility renewal expenses stated in the engineering reports.  Assessed based on the basis yield comprehensively taking into account transaction yield of similar properties within the same supply and demand zone, risks from individuality/regional factors of the property.  Value of earnings calculated by discounted cash flow (DCF) method  Discount rate  3.9%  Assessed based on capitalization rate reflecting current economic growth rate, market trends outlook, etc.  Assessed based on capitalization rate taking into account risk premiums such as price fall risk due to deterioration from aging, market fluctuation risk, etc.  Cost method value  1,130,000  Land ratio  54.9%			3,284	Assessed based on business entrustment agreement and past results of the property
Non-life insurance fees		Taxes and public dues	5,726	Assessed based on the recent results, etc.
Other expenses   0   Assessed based on the past results.		Non-life insurance fees	758	Recorded actual amount based on non-life insurance policy, etc.
Net operating income (NOI)			0	Assessed based on the past results.
Gain on management of income from lump- sum payment  Capital expenditures  Net income (NCF)  Capitalization rate  Value of earnings calculated by discounted cash flow (DCF)  Discount rate  Terminal capitalization rate  Cast method  Cast method  Cast method  Cast method  Gain on management of income from lump- sum payment  Assessed assuming management yield at 1.0%.  Recorded the average of accumulated total over the past 12 years of facility renewal expenses stated in the engineering reports.  Assessed based on the basis yield comprehensively taking into account transaction yield of similar properties within the same supply and demand zone, risks from individuality/regional factors of the property.		Net operating income	94,012	-
Capital expenditures  Net income (NCF)  Plant Spenditures  Net income (NCF)  Capitalization rate  Assessed based on the basis yield comprehensively taking into account transaction yield of similar properties within the same supply and demand zone, risks from individuality/regional factors of the property.  Value of earnings calculated by discounted cash flow (DCF) method  Discount rate  3.9%  Assessed based on capitalization rate reflecting current economic growth rate, market trends outlook, etc.  Assessed based on capitalization rate taking into account risk premiums such as price fall risk due to deterioration from aging, market fluctuation risk, etc.  Cost method value  1,130,000  Land ratio  1,130,000		of income from lump-	165	
Capitalization rate  4.1%  Assessed based on the basis yield comprehensively taking into account transaction yield of similar properties within the same supply and demand zone, risks from individuality/regional factors of the property.  Value of earnings calculated by discounted cash flow (DCF) method  Discount rate  3.9%  Assessed based on capitalization rate reflecting current economic growth rate, market trends outlook, etc.  Assessed based on capitalization rate taking into account risk premiums such as price fall risk due to deterioration from aging, market fluctuation risk, etc.  Cost method value  1,130,000  Land ratio  1.18		Capital expenditures	2,182	Recorded the average of accumulated total over the past 12 years of facility renewal expenses stated in the engineering reports.
Capitalization rate  4.1% account transaction yield of similar properties within the same supply and demand zone, risks from individuality/regional factors of the property.  Value of earnings calculated by discounted cash flow (DCF) method  Discount rate  3.9% Assessed based on capitalization rate reflecting current economic growth rate, market trends outlook, etc.  Assessed based on capitalization rate taking into account risk premiums such as price fall risk due to deterioration from aging, market fluctuation risk, etc.  Cost method value  1,130,000  Land ratio  4.1% account transaction yield of similar properties within the same supply and demand zone, risks from individuality/regional factors of the property.		Net income (NCF)	91,995	-
discounted cash flow (DCF) method  Discount rate  3.9% Assessed based on capitalization rate reflecting current economic growth rate, market trends outlook, etc.  Terminal capitalization rate  4.3% Assessed based on capitalization rate taking into account risk premiums such as price fall risk due to deterioration from aging, market fluctuation risk, etc.  Cost method value  1,130,000  Land ratio  54.9% -		Capitalization rate	4.1%	account transaction yield of similar properties within the same supply and demand zone, risks from individuality/regional factors
Terminal capitalization rate  4.3% growth rate, market trends outlook, etc.  Assessed based on capitalization rate taking into account risk premiums such as price fall risk due to deterioration from aging, market fluctuation risk, etc.  Cost method value  1,130,000  Land ratio  54.9% -	disc	ounted cash flow (DCF)	2,150,000	-
Terminal capitalization rate 4.3% premiums such as price fall risk due to deterioration from aging, market fluctuation risk, etc.  Cost method value 1,130,000 -  Land ratio 54.9% -		Discount rate	3.9%	growth rate, market trends outlook, etc.
Land ratio 54.9% -		-		premiums such as price fall risk due to deterioration from aging,
	Cost me	thod value		-
Building ratio 45.1% -				-
	Buil	lding ratio	45.1%	-

Other items considered by real	Since demand for the property comes mostly from investors emphasizing
estate appraisal agent upon appraisal	profitability, appraisal value was determined based on income approach value using cost method value only as reference.
	using cost method value only as reference.



# 5. Overview of Building Designer and Constructor of Asset to be Acquired

Concerning the real estate in trust for assets acquired or the asset to be acquired, Starts Proceed has examined and confirmed the building designers, constructors and building inspectors. The building designers, constructors and building inspectors for the real estate in trust for the Asset to be Acquired and which Starts Proceed has confirmed are as follows.

Property No	Property name	Building designer	Constructor	Building inspector
C-77	Proceed Kinshicho	Miwa Sekkei Co., Ltd.	Kawada Industries, Inc.	eHomes, Inc.

# 6. Overview of Counterparty of the Transaction

# (1) Detail of the buyer

(G-1) Proceed Hondori, (G-2) Proceed Kanjodorihigashi, (G-3) Proceed Kotoni and (G-9) Proceed Ohashi

	11, (G-2) Froceed Kanjodorinigasiii, (G-3) Froceed Kotoni and (G-9) Froceed Onasiii
Name	Starts Amenity Corporation
Location	8-4-3 Ichinoe, Edogawa-ku, Tokyo
Representative	Tarouo Saito, Representative
Main business activities	Real estate management and operations, construction, interior finishing and other businesses
Capital	350 million yen (as of March 31, 2017)
Established	April 1, 1985
Net Assets	23,930 million yen (as of March 31, 2017)
Total Assets	43,124 million yen (as of March 31, 2017)
Major shareholder and shareholding ratio	Starts Corporation Inc. (100%)
Relationship with Stars Proceed and the Asset Management Company	The Counterparty is a subsidiary whose shares issued and outstanding are wholly owned by Starts Corporation, the parent company of the Asset Management Company. Accordingly, the Counterparty falls within the scope of an interested party, etc. as defined in the Investment Trusts Act.  There is no personal relationship to report concerning Starts Proceed and the Asset Management Company with the Counterparty.  The Counterparty is leasing all the assets acquired in a lump based on the master lease agreement. The Counterparty has concluded a pipeline support agreement with the Asset Management Company and has been providing the Asset Management Company with property information, warehousing functions and such.  The Counterparty does not fall within the definition of a related party of Starts Proceed. The Counterparty is a fellow subsidiary company of the Asset Management Company under the same parent company and falls within the scope of related parties of the Asset Management Company as described above.

# (R-1) Proceed Matsuyama

Name	Shikoku Amlas Corporation
Location	2-1-4 Asoda-machi Matsuyama-shi, Ehime
Representative	Akio Hirota, Representative
Main business	Real estate transaction, lease, brokerage and management, and consultation regarding
activities	effective utilization of real estate
Capital	9 million yen (as of June 30, 2017)
Established	June 15, 2015
Net Assets	7 million yen (as of June 30, 2017)
Total Assets	223 million yen (as of June 30, 2017)
Major shareholder and shareholding ratio	Amlas Corporation (100%)
Relationship with Stars Proceed and the Asset Management Company	There is no capital, personal or business relationship to report concerning Starts Proceed and the Asset Management Company with the buyer. The buyer does not fall within the definition of a related party of Starts Proceed.



# (G-12) Proceed Higashiohata and (G-16) Proceed Ohorikoen

The buyers are different domestic companies for each property, but as the seller has not agreed to the disclosure, it is not disclosed. Furthermore, there is no capital, personal or business relationship to report concerning Starts Proceed and the Asset Management Company and the buyers. The buyers do not fall within the definition of a related party of Starts Proceed.

# (2) Details of the seller

2) Details of the seller			
Name	Starts Development Corporation		
Location	3-4-10 Nihonbashi, Chuo-ku, Tokyo		
Representative	Yutaka Hikichi, Representative		
Main business activities	Real estate development, planning and sales		
Capital	320 million yen (as of March 31, 2017)		
Established	October 3, 2005		
Net Assets	(5,568) million yen (as of March 31, 2017)		
Total Assets	27,033 million yen (as of March 31, 2017)		
Major shareholder and shareholding ratio	Starts Corporation Inc. (100%)		
Relationship with Stars Proceed and the Asset Management Company	The Counterparty is a subsidiary whose shares issued and outstanding are wholly owned by Starts Corporation, the parent company of the Asset Management Company. Accordingly, the Counterparty falls within the scope of an interested party, etc. as defined in the Investment Trusts Act.  There is no personal relationship to report concerning Starts Proceed and the Asset Management Company with the Counterparty.  The Counterparty has concluded a pipeline support agreement with the Asset Management Company and has been providing the Asset Management Company with property information, warehousing functions and such, and it currently owns the Asset to be Acquired based on the agreement.  The Counterparty does not fall within the definition of a related party of Starts Proceed. The Counterparty is a fellow subsidiary company of the Asset Management Company under the same parent company and falls within the scope of related parties of the Asset Management Company as described above.		

# 7. Overview of Brokerage

Out of the Transaction, broker and brokerage fee for the disposition of (G-16) Proceed Ohorikoen are as follows. It is not applicable for other dispositions and acquisition.

is not applicable for othe	t dispositions and acquisition:		
Name	Starts Corporate Service Inc.		
Location	3-1-8 Nihonbashi, Chuo-ku, Tokyo		
Representative	Toyotaka Muraishi, Representative		
Main business activities	Lease brokerage, transaction brokerage and real estate management		
Capital	100 million yen (as of March 31, 2017)		
Established	October 3, 2005		
	The Counterparty is a subsidiary whose shares issued and outstanding are wholly owned		
	by Starts Corporation, the parent company of the Asset Management Company.		
	Accordingly, the Counterparty falls within the scope of an interested party, etc. as def		
Relationship with	in the Investment Trusts Act.		
Stars Proceed and the	There is no personal relationship to report concerning Starts Proceed and the Asset		
Asset Management	Management Company with the Counterparty.		
Company	The Counterparty does not fall within the definition of a related party of Starts Proceed.		
	The Counterparty is a fellow subsidiary company of the Asset Management Company		
	under the same parent company and falls within the scope of related parties of the Asset		
	Management Company as described above.		
Brokerage fee	(G-16) Proceed Ohorikoen: 12,660 thousand yen (excluding consumption tax, etc.)		



# 8. Transaction with Interested Parties of the Transaction

Starts Amenity Corporation, the buyer of (G-1) Proceed Hondori, (G-2) Proceed Kanjodorihigashi, (G-3) Proceed Kotoni and (G-9) Proceed Ohashi out of the Assets to be Disposed and to which master lease and property management after the acquisition of the Asset to be Acquired are planned to be entrusted, Starts Pitat House Co., Ltd., to which leasing business of the property management is subcontracted, Starts Development Corporation, which are the seller of the Asset to be Acquired, and Starts Corporate Service Inc., which are the broker of (G-16) Proceed Ohorikoen, fall within the scope of an interested party, etc. as defined in the Investment Trusts Act.

Accordingly, all transactions have been determined after following appropriate procedures based on the internal rules of the Asset Management Company and pursuant to standards stipulated by laws and regulations.



9. Status of Parties Related to the Acquisition of the Asset to be Acquired

Property No.	Property name	Current owner Previous owner			revious owner
C-77	Proceed Kinshicho	Name	Starts Development Corporation	Name	Other than one with a relationship of special interest
		Relationship with related parties	Please refer to "6. Overview of Counterparty of the Transaction" above.	Relationship with related parties	_
		Acquisition background and reason, etc.	Acquisition as provision of warehousing function until the acquisition by Starts Proceed	Acquisition background and reason, etc.	_
		Acquisition price	2,100,000 thousand yen	Acquisition price	_
		Acquisition period	June 30, 2017	Acquisition period	_

# 10. Future Outlook

Please refer to the press release "Notice Concerning Revisions to Management Status and Distribution Forecast for the Fiscal Period Ending October 2017" separately announced today.

<Attachment>]

[Attachment 1] Portfolio List after the Transaction

[Attachment 1] Photos and Map of Asset to be Acquired

\*Distribution: Kabuto Club, Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism Press Club, and Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism Press Club for Construction Publications

<sup>\*</sup>Start Proceed website: <a href="http://www.sp-inv.co.jp/en/">http://www.sp-inv.co.jp/en/</a>



# [Attachment 1] Portfolio List after the Transaction

	Property name	Acquisition	Acquisition price		
Property No.		Acquisition price (thousand yen) (Note 1)	Investment share (%) (Note 2)		
C-1	Proceed Ichikawa	1,076,000	1.3		
C-2	Proceed Toyocho	646,700	0.8		
C-3	Proceed Kasai	688,700	0.8		
C-4	Proceed Sangenjaya	555,900	0.7		
C-5	Proceed Mizue	602,600	0.7		
C-6	Proceed Funabashi Miyamoto	419,900	0.5		
C-7	Proceed Minamikasai	303,500	0.4		
C-8	Proceed Sengendai	259,200	0.3		
C-9	Proceed Gyotoku	315,600	0.4		
C-10	Proceed Makuharihongo	279,300	0.3		
C-11	Proceed Minamigyotoku	287,300	0.3		
C-12	Proceed Makuharihongo 2	223,400	0.3		
C-13	Proceed Higashikawaguchi	206,500	0.2		
C-14	Proceed Funabori	226,100	0.3		
C-15	Proceed Takenozuka	169,400	0.2		
C-16	Proceed Sengendai 2	86,700	0.1		
C-17	Proceed Shoto	937,400	1.1		
C-18	Proceed Sangubashi	497,600	0.6		
C-19	Proceed Urayasu	431,400	0.5		
C-20	Proceed Shinkoiwa	465,200	0.6		
C-21	Proceed Yachiyo Midorigaoka I	383,600	0.5		
C-22	Proceed Yachiyo Midorigaoka II	339,000	0.4		
C-23	Proceed Toritsudaigaku	790,400	1.0		
C-24	Proceed Toritsudaigaku 2	772,200	0.9		
C-25	Proceed Honjoazumabashi	339,800	0.4		
C-26	Proceed Meguro Aobadai	466,700	0.6		
C-27	Proceed Suginami Miyamae	454,900	0.6		
C-28	Proceed Ryogoku	443,900	0.5		
C-29	Proceed Mita	1,537,200	1.9		
C-30	Proceed Nakanoshimbashi	638,800	0.8		
C-31	Proceed Kameido	339,000	0.4		
C-32	Proceed Takadanobaba	223,700	0.3		
C-33	Proceed Shinkoenji	742,100	0.9		
C-34	Proceed Koenjiminami	277,400	0.3		
C-35	Proceed Hasune	284,000	0.3		
C-36	Proceed Oimachi	944,000	1.1		
C-37	Proceed Jujo	533,000	0.6		
C-38	Proceed Hakuraku	241,000	0.3		
C-39	Proceed Shinmaruko	635,000	0.8		
C-40	Proceed Motoyawata	307,000	0.4		
C-41	Proceed Nishiarai	5,172,000	6.3		
C-42	Proceed Chofu	460,500	0.6		
C-43	Proceed TX Rokucho	156,800	0.2		
C-44	Proceed Nakagawara	1,141,000	1.4		



		Acquisition	Acquisition price		
Property No.	Property name	Acquisition price (thousand yen) (Note 1)	Investment share (%) (Note 2)		
C-45	Proceed Oizumigakuen	268,300	0.3		
C-46	Proceed Chitosekarasuyama	289,600	0.4		
C-47	Proceed Mitaka	477,200	0.6		
C-48	Proceed Kashiwa Est	732,000	0.9		
C-49	Proceed Kashiwa Nord	689,000	0.8		
C-50	Proceed Gyotokuekimae	331,000	0.4		
C-51	Proceed Funabashi Honcho	531,700	0.6		
C-52	Proceed Nishikawaguchi	881,000	1.1		
C-53	Proceed Gumyoji	552,000	0.7		
C-54	Proceed Tsurugamine	356,000	0.4		
C-55	Proceed Sagamiotsuka	234,000	0.3		
C-56	Proceed Shinozaki 2	913,300	1.1		
C-57	Proceed Kashiwa Trois	537,100	0.7		
C-58	Proceed Shinozaki Tower	1,564,000	1.9		
C-59	Proceed Tobu Nerima	422,000	0.5		
C-60	Proceed Yukigaya	323,000	0.4		
C-61	Proceed Ichikawa Minami	687,000	0.8		
C-62	Proceed Ichikawa Myoden	498,000	0.6		
C-63	Proceed Fukujisawa Kugenuma	729,000	0.9		
C-64	Proceed Nihonbashi-horidomecho	1,485,800	2.6		
C-65	Proceed TX Nagareyama Central Park	979,700	1.7		
C-66	Proceed Gyotoku 2	830,000	1.5		
C-67	Proceed Nishikasai	875,600	1.5		
C-68	Proceed Kasai 2	750,000	0.9		
C-69	Proceed Nihonbashi Honcho	2,449,000	3.0		
C-70	Proceed Nishi Shinjuku	2,549,000	3.1		
C-71	Proceed Unoki	917,000	1.1		
C-72	Proceed Minamigyotoku 2	1,080,000	1.3		
C-73	Proceed CO-Z East Building	1,830,000	2.2		
C-74	Proceed CO-Z West Building	971,000	1.2		
C-75	Proceed Shin Yokohama	4,330,000	5.2		
C-76	Life Support Residence Funaboriekimae	380,000	0.5		
C-77	Proceed Kinshicho	2,140,000	2.6		
	opolitan area major cites subtotal	58,883,700	71.3		
G-6	Proceed Hakozakigu II	85,000	0.1		
G-7	Proceed Hakozakigu I	81,300	0.1		
G-8	Proceed Taikodori	403,400	0.5		
G-10	Proceed Nakagawa	150,400	0.2		
G-10 G-11	Proceed Honamicho	275,000	0.2		
G-11	Proceed Shinsakae	792,500	1.0		
G-13	Proceed Chiyoda	309,300	0.4		
G-14 G-15	Proceed Fukuoka Takamiya	453,600	0.5		
G-13 G-17	Proceed Kanayama	1,022,000	1.2		
G-17 G-18	Proceed Fukiage	499,000	0.6		
	Proceed Toyoda	219,000	0.3		
( <del>( )</del> _ 1 u		<b>■</b> ∠17.0000	0.3		
G-19 G-20	Proceed Kitahorie	1,917,300	2.3		



		Acquisitio	Acquisition price	
Property	Property name	Acquisition price	Investment	
No.		(thousand yen)	share	
		(Note 1)	(%) (Note 2)	
G-22	Proceed Kobemotomachi	780,000	0.9	
G-23	Group Home Tanoshii Ie Taisho	158,000	0.2	
G-24	Proceed Kanayama 2	2,040,400	3.6	
G-25	Proceed Aratamabashi	2,129,600	3.7	
G-26	Proceed Bentencho	2,170,000	2.6	
G-27	Proceed Nagaikoendori	1,070,000	1.3	
G-28	Proceed Nishinagahori	942,000	1.1	
G-29	Proceed Kyobashi	2,040,000	2.5	
G-30	Proceed Hyogoekimaedori	1,670,000	2.0	
G-31	Proceed Mizuho	535,000	0.6	
G-32	Proceed Osu	831,000	1.0	
G-33	Proceed Sendai Kozurushinden	698,000	0.8	
Cabinet orde	Cabinet order designated cities subtotal 22,151,800		26.8	
R-2	Proceed Mito	383,700	0.5	
R-3	Proceed Mito 2	416,900	0.5	
R-4	Proceed Tsukuba Gakuentoshi	775,600	1.4	
Regional are	Regional area major cities subtotal		1.9	
Portfolio total		82,611,700	100.0	

<sup>(</sup>Note 1) As to "Acquisition price" in "Acquisition price," transaction prices stated in the trust beneficial interest transaction agreement, etc. are indicated for assets to be acquired (excluding various expenses such as real estate transaction brokerage fee, etc. required for the acquisition of the assets acquired or to be acquired).

(Note 2) "Investment share" in "Acquisition price" indicates the ratio of acquisition price of assets acquired and asset to be acquired to the total acquisition price, and is rounded off to the first decimal place.



[Attachment 2] Photos and Map of the Asset to be Acquired (C-77) Proceed Kinshicho







Location: 4-6-9 Kamezawa, Sumida-ku, Tokyo

